MIIDUMESTERVASTORVATE (CAS

GORRORORANIOA

Zastania aoni, ingikawa

NDIANARUTITI KREGIULAT ORK COMMILSKIOM. Sagas Na 43220

IDIIRABIOTESTIBSITTUMOMSEKINIDIBAKHIRBITES YOTR

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION Washington, Indiana

FILED

MAY 1 5 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

DCM - DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DUANE C. MERCER

BJM - DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BONNIE J. MANN

DCM-1 - EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A - Comparative Balance Sheets

SCHEDULE A-1 - Detail of Utility Plant in Service

EXHIBIT B - Comparative Statements of Net Income

SCHEDULE B-1 - Detail of Operating Revenue SCHEDULE B-2 - Detail of Operating Expenses

EXHIBIT C - Pro-Forma Operating Income Statement

SCHEDULE C-1 - Detail of Adjustments - Mercer/Mann

SCHEDULE C-2 - Detail of Pro-Forma Adjustments - Mercer

SCHEDULE C-3 - Total Revenue Calculation

EXHIBIT D - Original Cost Rate Base 12/31/01

EXHIBIT E - Cost of Capital Calculation

SCHEDULE E-1 - Calculation of Synchronized Interest

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION Washington, Indiana

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43229

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DUANE C. MERCER

1 2	MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION Washington, Indiana						
3 4			IURC Cause No. 43229				
5 6			Direct Testimony and Exhibits of				
7 8			Duane C. Mercer				
9							
11	Q.	1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.				
12	A.		My name is Duane C. Mercer and my business address is One American Square,				
13			Suite 2600 Indianapolis, Indiana 46282.				
14							
15	Q.	2.	MR. MERCER, WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?				
16	A.		I am a Certified Public Accountant with the London Witte Group, LLC, a firm,				
17			which, specializes, in utility regulation before the Indiana Utility Regulatory				
18			Commission.				
19							
20	Q.	3.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL				
21			BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS, WHICH YOU				
22			BELIEVE MIGHT BE RELEVANT TO THE PRE-FILED TESTIMONY AND				
23			ORAL OPINIONS YOU OFFER?				
24	Α.		I am a 1969 graduate of Indiana University where I earned a Bachelor of Science				
25			degree in Business, with a concentration in accounting and economics. Following				
26		graduation, I was employed by the international accounting firm of Ernst & Young					
27	where I performed financial and operational audits, director's examinations and						
28			similar other services for a variety of clients. In 1972, RCA Consumer Electronics				
29			Division employed me in the Internal Auditing Department where I managed in the				
30			development and implementation of audit programs for all fiscal and administrative				

areas of the Division. In 1976, I became Manager of International Finance for the Consumer Electronics Division of RCA with primary responsibility for financial planning, forecasting, and coordination of our foreign operating plants. In 1978, the Consumer Counselor's office for the State of Indiana employed me as the staff Certified Public Accountant. During my employment with the Consumer Counselor, I advised that office on numerous utility issues coordinated the filings of the Fuel Adjustment Clause and Gas Cost Adjustment with the consultants retained by that office, and testified on their behalf as required. In July 1983, I established a practice specializing in utility regulation. In 1985, I consolidated my practice with two other practitioners and formed Brenner, Mercer & Perry, P.C. to also perform work in the utility regulation area. Since May 1, 1987, I have been the Partner in charge of Utility Services for London Witte Group, LLC, a Firm specializing in, among other areas, utility regulation on behalf of the public, municipal and investor-owned utilities, and intervenors before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

15

16

Q. 4. WHAT ARE YOUR PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS?

I am a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the
Indiana Association of Certified Public Accountants, for which I have served as a
member of the Utilities Committee.

20

21

22

A.

Q. 5. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS CAUSE?

I am appearing on behalf of Midwest Natural Gas CORPORATION

23

24

25

Q. 6. WHAT IS THE SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF YOUR ASSIGNMENT?

A. I and my Firm have been engaged by the Petitioner to analyze Petitioner's current

financial information and advise Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel as to changes in various rates and charges that should be sought in order to better provide a reasonable return on investment in used and useful property and cover all operation, maintenance, and tax expenses of the utility. In providing such service, I have reviewed Petitioner's books and records, examined Petitioner's capital structure, and considered the costs associated with various elements of the capital structure, and reviewed Commission's Orders in numerous cases, among other activities. On the basis of my expertise, experience, and this analysis, I have been able to form various opinions, as well as make recommendations to Petitioner's management regarding its need for additional revenue. I have reduced these opinions, along with my recommendations to the form of schedules, which are attached to Ms. Mann's and my testimony.

A.

Q. 7. MR. MERCER, PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE MAJOR CONCLUSION THAT YOU REACHED OR ANY CONCERNS THAT YOU HAVE FOLLOWING YOUR ANALYSIS.

Petitioner is not earning its authorized return on rate base approved in our last rate case. Our last rate case was filed in 2002, based on a test year ending December 31, 2001, and was primarily a rate base update case. The major reason for filing this rate case is not only to recover increased operating costs, but also to recover the loss of revenue from several large industrial and commercial customers who have discontinued operations since our last rate case. In addition to this lost usage we have experienced a significant decline in the monthly usage by our remaining commercial and firm industrial customers. In fact, this decline has continued through 2007. This decline in usage also contributes to the need for this rate adjustment.

These three factors, discussed above, account for the majority of the increase 1 2 requested in this cause. Permitting Petitioner to earn a return on its investment will improve Petitioner's financial soundness, appropriately compensate it's investors for 3 their devotion of capital to the enterprise and assist in establishing rates sufficient to 4 cover all of its current operation expenses. 5 6 7 Q. 8. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TECHNICAL EXHIBITS, WHICH YOU SPONSOR? 8 9 Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit C, D, and Exhibit E as well as Schedules C-2, C-3 Α. and portions of C-1. Exhibit C presents the "Pro-Forma Operating Income 10 Statement". It reflects the results of operations under differing assumptions. The 11 column entitled "August 31, 2006", reflects the actual per book results of 12 Petitioner's operations for the agreed upon test year. The column entitled "Pro-13 Forma Present Rates" reflects the results of operations after the adjustments for all 14 fixed, known and measurable elements have been taken into account. The column 15 16 entitled "Proposed Rates" reflects the projected results of operations based upon a recommended increase of approximately 8.91%. The "Adjustments" reflected on 17 Exhibit C are detailed on Schedules C-1, C-2 and C-3. Exhibit D reflects the 18 calculation of the Original Cost Rate Base at August 31, 2006. Exhibit E 19 summarizes the Cost of Capital calculation for Petitioner. 20 21 9. 22 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS PRESENTED IN SCHEDULE C-1, WHICH ARE SPONSORED BY YOU? 23 I am sponsoring Adjustment No. 1 and Adjustment No. 2 as follows: A. 24

25

Adjustment No. 1 which adjusts "Operating Revenue" is comprised of five (5)

separate adjustments totaling (\$21,205,852) as follows:

- a) Elimination of (\$8,651,780) in Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) Revenues received during the test year ended August 31, 2006.
- b) Increase in "Operating Revenue" of \$978,689 for weather normalization resulting from approximately a 12% warmer than normal weather experience during the test year on which these rates are to be set. Normal weather in this calculation is the 30-year average of weather information on heating degreedays from 1970 to 2000, as reported by NOAA weather. In accordance with the weather normalization methodology approved in our most recent Normal Temperature Adjustment (NTA) proceeding before this commission, we used the approved blend of 75% Louisville and 25% Indianapolis as our test year weather stations for this case.
- c) Decrease in "Operating Revenue" of (\$2,166,584) for the loss of several large commercial and firm industrial customers, as well as, decreased consumption by our remaining customers during the test year, and continuing its decline into 2007. This adjustment eliminates the revenue associated with these lost customers and declining sales volume included in the test year.
- d) Decrease in "Operating Revenue" of (\$28,603) resulting from the reclassification of customers to the correct rate class for the test year.
- e) Decrease of \$(11,337,574) in "Operating Revenues" to reflect the synchronization of revenue to be collected through rates based on the changes in the base cost of gas established in the last general rate proceeding and the pro-forma cost of gas as detailed in Adjustment 2. As has been the practice of most gas utilities, petitioner has removed the cost of natural gas as part of its base rates in this case. As a result, gas costs will be recovered in the Gas

1			Cost Adjustment (GCA) process.
2	Q.	10.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NO. 2 FOR
3			PURCHASED GAS?
4			Adjustment 2 decreases the cost of "Natural Gas Purchased" by (\$21,303,901) to
5			eliminate the cost of gas from Petitioner's base rates. All gas costs incurred in the
6			future will be included in the GCA process as verified by the Office of Utility
7			Consumer Counselor, and approved by the IURC. The elimination of gas cost does
8			not in any way affect the amount of increase being sought in this proceeding.
9			
10	Q.	11.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS PRESENTED IN SCHEDULE C-
11			2, WHICH ARE SPONSORED BY YOU.
12	A.		Adjustment A, of Schedule C-2, reflects the projected proposed increase in annual
13			revenue of \$2,048,995 assuming the proposed rates are granted. Adjustments B, C,
14			D, and E of \$2,176, \$9,989, \$28,546 and \$797,083, respectively reflect the proposed
15			increases in the IURC fee, the bad debt expense, the 1.4% State Utility Receipts Tax,
16			the State and the Federal Income Tax associated with the proposed 8.91% increase in
17			rates requested in this filing. In my opinion, I believe each of the adjustments I have
18			made is proper and has been made in accordance with the classification of accounts
19			approved by the Commission for utilities of the class of Petitioner.
20			
21	Q.	12.	BASED UPON THE AFOREMENTIONED FIXED, KNOWN AND
22			MEASURABLE ADJUSTMENTS, WHAT IS THE PROJECTED PRO-
23			FORMA PRESENT RATE NET OPERATING INCOME?
24	A.		The Pro-Forma Present Rates Net Operating Income is projected to be \$1,104,364
25			which is less than what was authorized as a reasonable net operating income in 2003.

1			This is an increase of \$1,211,201 from the present Pro Forma rate Net Operating
2			Loss of (\$106,837) as reflected on Exhibit C. Exhibit C-3 provides the calculation of
3			the overall revenue adjustment as well as the average percent increase a typical
4			customer will experience.
5	Q.	13.	MR. MERCER, WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE EXHIBIT D?
6	A.		Yes. Exhibit D is the calculation of Original Cost Rate Base. It is comprised of
7			several components.
8			
9	Q.	14.	WHAT ARE THOSE COMPONENTS?
10	A.		The major component is Utility Plant-in-Service as of August 31, 2006. This total is
11			\$26,415,761. The subtraction of accumulated depreciation of \$15,489,505 results in
12			the Net Utility Plant in Service of \$10,926,256.
13			To the Net Utility Plant-in-Service, we have added our requirement for cash working
14			capital in the amount of \$481,733 using the FERC 45-day working capital formula.
15			This methodology has been accepted for gas utilities the size of Petitioner in lieu of
16			performing a cash lead-lag study.
17			Additionally, we have included the 13-month average of material and supplies in the
18			amount of \$454,138.
19			The total original cost rate base being requested in this Cause is \$11,862,127.
20			
21	Q.	15.	PLEASE CONTINUE WITH THE EXPLANATION OF YOUR EXHIBITS.
22	A.		Exhibit E presents the "Cost of Capital Calculation" using the capital structure as of
23			August 31, 2006, and using the 11.75% return on Common Equity. Schedule E is the
24			Calculation of the Overall Weighted Cost of Capital of 9.31%. Schedule E-1 is the
25			Calculation of Synchronized Interest, which is used as a component for the

1			calculation of State and Federal Income Tax expense.
2			
3	Q.	16.	MR. MERCER, HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS
4			COMMISSION ON AN APPROPRIATE AND REASONABLE RATE OF
5			RETURN ON EQUITY FOR INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES?
6	A.		Yes. I have testified before this Commission on numerous occasions on such a
7			subject, including previously on behalf of Petitioner.
8			
9	Q.	17.	HAVE YOU FORMED AN OPINION AS TO WHAT A REASONABLE RATE
10			OF RETURN ON EQUITY WOULD BE FOR THIS PETITIONER WHICH
11			WOULD ALSO BE REASONABLE FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE?
12	A.		Yes, I have.
13			
14	Q.	18.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT YOUR OPINION IS AND GENERALLY HOW
15			YOU ARRIVED AT SUCH OPINION.
16	A		
17	A.		I believe the rate of return on equity should be at least 11.75%. I have arrived at such
	A.		I believe the rate of return on equity should be at least 11.75%. I have arrived at such opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft
18	A.		
	A.		opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft
18	A.		opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft quoted requirements of the purpose of a reasonable return. Namely, that such a
18 19	A.		opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft quoted requirements of the purpose of a reasonable return. Namely, that such a return is one which is comparable to returns of others having corresponding risks, is a
18 19 20	A.		opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft quoted requirements of the purpose of a reasonable return. Namely, that such a return is one which is comparable to returns of others having corresponding risks, is a return which is sufficient to ensure confidence and financial integrity of the regulated
18 19 20 21	A.		opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft quoted requirements of the purpose of a reasonable return. Namely, that such a return is one which is comparable to returns of others having corresponding risks, is a return which is sufficient to ensure confidence and financial integrity of the regulated utility, is sufficient to maintain its current credit, and will allow the utility to attract
18 19 20 21 22	A.		opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft quoted requirements of the purpose of a reasonable return. Namely, that such a return is one which is comparable to returns of others having corresponding risks, is a return which is sufficient to ensure confidence and financial integrity of the regulated utility, is sufficient to maintain its current credit, and will allow the utility to attract capital.

should be considered in the rate of return requested by a utility. 1 In addition, I also reviewed what Petitioner has been able to earn based on the last 21 2 quarters of the GCA process. Based on that review I noted that Petitioner has a 3 negative Operational Income Earnings Test pool differential of (\$13,128,798) 4 or (\$1,025,011) on an annual accumulation basis. This deficit pool of earning is 5 almost equal to the net operating income being requested by Petitioner today. Again, 6 this factor should also be considered in developing a return for this utility. 7 8 Arguably, given the risk of uncertainty resulting from natural gas procurement, competition from competing fuels, as well as the fact that Petitioner has under earned 9 its previously authorized return in 21 consecutive GCA quarters, Petitioner should be 10 authorized a return commensurate with it perceived overall risk. 11 Finally, I took into consideration the fact that not only is Petitioner's stock unlisted 12 13 on any exchange, but it is also a small utility without some of the tools that other larger utilities have for ensuring a greater recovery of their authorized net operating 14 income. Therefore additional consideration should be given to both the company's 15 size, as well as its lack of liquidity of the stock as the Commission has considered in 16 prior cases. 17 Taking all of these considerations together and recognizing that Petitioner is trying to 18 retain the confidence of its stockholders, I am of the opinion that an 11.75% return on 19 equity is a minimum return that this Commission should authorize in this proceeding. 20 21 Q. 19. 22 MR. MERCER, HAVE YOU INCLUDED IN YOUR ADJUSTMENTS FOR 23 RATE CASE EXPENSE COSTS OF HIRING AN ECONOMIST TO SPEAK TO THE ISSUE OF RATE OF RETURN ON EQUITY? 24

Yes, I have.

25

A.

I NOTE THAT NO ECONOMIST HAS FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN Q. 20. 1 WHY WOULD SUCH RATE CASE EXPENSE BE 2 THIS CASE. **NECESSARY?** 3 I have included this expense based upon the anticipated analysis by and preparation A. 4 of exhibits from an additional expert with experience in evaluating appropriate utility 5 returns. I anticipate rebuttal will be necessary to the testimony filed by the Office of 6 7 Utility Consumer Counselor based upon historical testimony filed relative to this Petitioner and other similarly situated petitioners. To the extent that rebuttal 8 testimony of the economist is not filed, this amount can be reduced in my rate case 9 10 expense adjustment. 11 MR. MERCER, IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF Q. 21. 12 INCREASE IN REVENUES THAT IS REQUIRED BY THE PETITIONER IN 13 ORDER TO RECOVER THE CURRENT COST OF SERVICE INCLUDING 14 15 A REASONABLE RETURN ON ITS USED AND USEFUL PROPERTY? A. Based on my analysis and the findings on my exhibits, the Petitioner should be 16 17 authorized to increase rates and charges by an amount sufficient to generate \$2,048,995 in additional Operating Revenue. This recommended increase would 18 result in total Pro-Forma Proposed Revenues of \$7,020,859. Other operating 19 revenues are \$185,718. Deducting Pro-Forma Proposed Operating Expenses of 20 21 \$6,102,213 produces a Pro-Forma Proposed Net Operating Income of \$1,104,364. 22 22. IF THIS COMMISSION AUTHORIZED A NET OPERATING INCOME OF 23 Q.

PETITIONER'S USED AND USEFUL PLANT?

24

25

\$1,104,364, WHAT IS THE OVERALL RATE OF RETURN ON

1	A.		A Net Operating Income of \$1,104,364 would result in a 9.31% overall return on the
2			original cost rate base. The components comprising the overall return are detailed on
3			Exhibit E.
4			
5	Q.	23.	HAVE YOU ALSO EXAMINED PETITIONER'S RATE BASE AND BEEN
6			ABLE TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO THE ORIGINAL COST?
7	A.		Yes, I have. Based upon Petitioner's books and records and my discussions with
8			management, I believe the original cost is no less than \$11,862,127.
9			
10	Q.	24.	MR. MERCER, ON THE BASIS OF YOUR ANALYSIS, WHAT NET
11			OPERATING INCOME SHOULD THIS PETITIONER BE AUTHORIZED
12			AN OPPORTUNITY TO EARN?
13	A.		I believe this Petitioner should be authorized a reasonable opportunity to earn
14			\$1,104,364.
15			
16	Q.	25.	WILL PETITIONER'S CUSTOMERS SEE AN INCREASE OR A
17			DECREASE DUE TO THIS CHANGED NET OPERATING INCOME?
18	A.		The Petitioner's customers will see an increase due to this changed Net Operating
19			Income. The exact amount of the increase will be based on the cost of service study
20			performed by Mr. Kerry Heid of Heid Rate Consulting.
21			
22	Q.	29.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
23	A.		Yes sir, it does.

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION, INC. Washington, Indiana

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43229

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

BONNIE J. MANN

1 2								
4			IURC Cause No. 43229					
5 6 7 8			Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Bonnie J. Mann					
9 10	Q.	1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.					
11	A.		My name is Bonnie J. Mann; my business address is One American Square, Suite					
12			2600, Indianapolis, Indiana 46282.					
13								
14	Q.	2.	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?					
15	A.		I am a Certified Public Accountant specializing in public utility matters.					
16								
17	Q.	3.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?					
18	A.		I am employed by London Witte Group, LLC. (LWG)					
19								
20	Q.	4.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL					
21			BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS?					
22	A.		I am a 1987 graduate of Butler University where I earned a Bachelor of Science					
23			Degree in business, with a concentration in accounting. Following graduation, I was					
24			employed by the international accounting firm of Peat Marwick, where I performed					
25			financial and operational audits for a variety of clients. Since September 1988, I have					
26			been employed by London Witte Group, LLC, and I am currently a Principal					
27			concentrating in the utilities area.					
28	Q.	5.	WHAT ARE YOUR PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS?					

1	A.		I am a member of the Indiana Association of Certified Public Accountants (ICPA),
2			and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). I have been a
3			member of the ICPA Utilities Committee.
4			
5	Q.	6.	WHAT IS THE SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF YOUR ASSIGNMENT?
6	A.		The scope and purpose of my assignment was to assist Mr. Mercer in the review of
7			Petitioner's financial records in order to form an opinion as to the appropriate level of
8			revenues required to recover the Petitioner's current cost of service and to prepare
9			Testimony and Exhibits in the Petitioner's behalf for presentation to the IURC in the
10			form of evidence supporting Petitioner's request.
11			
12	Q.	7.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS CAUSE?
13	A.		I am appearing on behalf of Midwest Natural Gas Corporation, Inc.
14			
15	Q.	8.	I HAND YOU A DOCUMENT MARKED AS PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS
16			BJM AND DCM-1 AND ASK YOU TO IDENTIFY THEM.
17	A.		Exhibit BJM is my Pre-filed written testimony, and Exhibit DCM-1 is comprised of
18			the technical exhibits and supporting schedules which are used as a basis for our
19			opinion as to the appropriate level of revenues. I have worked in conjunction with
20			Mr. Mercer in developing these supporting schedules.
21			·
22	Q.	9.	WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TECHNICAL EXHIBITS WHICH,
23			YOU SPONSOR?

Yes. Exhibit A and B show the audited Comparative Balance Sheets and Statements 1 A. of Net Income, respectively, for the Petitioner. This historical information is 2 presented as of August 31, 2006, and August 31, 2005, and for the years ended on 3 each of said dates. The twelve months ended August 31, 2006, is the test year approved in the Pre-Hearing Order in this Cause. The books and records of petitioner 5 are kept in accordance with the uniform system of accounts for a gas utility. They are 6 the representations of the management of the Petitioner, and are the starting point for 7 our adjustments. Schedules A-1, B-1 and B-2 are the supporting detail for the major 8 9 Balance Sheet and Statement of Income elements, respectively. All of the amounts presented in Exhibits A and B as well as Schedules A-1, B-1 and B-2 come directly 10 from the Petitioner's audited financial statements for the years ended August 31, 11 2006 and 2005. In addition, I am sponsoring certain adjustments in schedule C-1. 12 13 WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS CONTAINED IN 14 Q. 10. SCHEDULE C-1, WHICH ARE SPONSORED BY YOU? 15 Yes, Adjustment No.'s 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 are comprised of several adjustments to A. 16 "Operating Expenses". 17 18 Adjustment No. 3 adjusts "Operation and Maintenance" expense and consists of 19 three (3) different adjustments totaling \$221,755 as follows: 20 21 Increase in net payroll expense effective within twelve (12) months from the end of the test period, of \$184,168. Pro-forma payroll was calculated by 22

23

annualizing the test year payroll by employee and then making additional

1	adjustments for salary increases expected in July 2007, the Petitioners normal
2	date for payroll increases.
3	b) Increase in "Operation and Maintenance" expense of \$39,858, representing the
4	amortization of the current rate case expenses of \$200,00 in professional fees
5	and \$7,000 in mailing expenses over the expected life of the proposed rates,
6	which we estimate to be three (3) years.
7	c) Decrease in IURC fee of (\$2,271) to reflect the current IURC rate on the
8	applicable Pro-Forma Present Rate Revenues.
9	
10	Adjustment 4 consists of six adjustments to "Administrative and General" expense
11	totaling \$69,718 as follows:
12	a) Increase in "Administrative and General" expense to reflect the change in the cost
13	of property and casualty insurance of \$11,098.
14	b) Increase in "Administrative and General" expenses to reflect the increase in the
15	cost of health and life insurance of \$16,381.
16	c) Increase in Administrative and General" expense for the increase in the ESOP
17	cost of \$11,050. This increase is based on the Proforma salaries calculated for the
18	payroll adjustment described above.
19	d) Decrease in "Administrative and General" expenses to reflect the removal of rent
20	included in the test year of (\$57,600), as a result of the purchase of the office
21	buildings for the Scottsburg and Bloomfield operational service center.
22	e) Increase "Administrative and General" expense by \$64,227 for miscellaneous
23	expense changes including communications expense, public awareness programs,

additional outside professional fees and office expenses. 1 f) Increase to "Administrative and General" expense by \$24,562 to reflect the 2 requested amortization of the cost of the NTA proceeding over a 3 year period. 3 Adjustment 5 reflects the increase in the pro-forma "Depreciation and Amortization 5 Expense" for the Utility Plant-In-Service as of August 31, 2006, which is the 6 approved cut-off date for Utility Plant-In-Service. We have calculated depreciation 7 using 3.75% rate for distribution plant, a 20% rate for transportation and computer 8 9 equipment, a 10% rate for office, tools and communication equipment. This results in an increase in Depreciation of \$79,359. The Commission previously approved 10 11 these depreciation rates. 12 Adjustment 6 is comprised of two (2) adjustments totaling (\$283,146) to "Taxes 13 Other Than Income Taxes" as follows: 14 To adjust payroll taxes by \$12,861 for the increase in payroll reflected in 15 a) 16 Adjustment 3 a. Decrease in Utility Receipts Tax of (\$296,007) to reflect the Pro-Forma 17 Present Rate adjustments to revenues multiplied by the Utility Receipts Tax 18 Rate of 1.4%. 19 20 Adjustment 7 is comprised of two (2) adjustments increasing Income Taxes by 21 \$26,930 after considering the afore-mentioned adjustments to revenues and expenses 22 as follows: 23

- a) Decrease in State Income Tax of (\$11,412).
- b) Increase in Federal Income Tax of \$38,342.
- 4 Q. 11. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes sir, it does.

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION Washington, Indiana

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43229

EXHIBITS OF

DUANE C. MERCER

AND

BONNIE J. MANN

Comparative Balance Sheets August 31, 2006 and 2005

		As of	
	2006	A3 01	2005
<u>ASSETS</u>			
UTILITY PLANT			
Utility Plant-in-Service	\$ 26,518,385		\$ 25,407,382
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(15,489,505)		(14,694,003)
Net Utility Plant	11,028,880		10,713,379
NON-CURRENT ASSETS			
Investments	410,506		447,688
Other Non-Current Assets	555,920		604,130
Total Non-Current Assets	966,426		1,051,818
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash	138,138		773,367
Accounts Receivable	3,191,316		2,751,937
Inventory	424,861		429,123
Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets	565,195		448,283
Total Current Assets	4,319,510		4,402,710
TOTAL ASSETS	\$16,314,816		\$16,167,907

Comparative Balance Sheets August 31, 2006 and 2005

		As of	
	2006		2005
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLE	ER'S EQUITY		
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY			
Common Stock Issued	\$ 723,341		\$ 723,341
Other Paid-in Capital	222,352		222,352
Treasury Stock	(10,087,937)		(9,149,756)
Retained Earnings	17,861,483		18,390,518
Total Shareholders' Equity	8,719,239		10,186,455
LONG TERM LIABILITIES			•
Long Term Debt	3,874,242		3,294,918
Total Long Term Liabilities	3,874,242		3,294,918
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Current Portion of Long Term Debt	305,423		192,767
Notes Payable (Receivable) - Intercompany	209,484		(773,595)
Accounts Payable	1,598,046		1,595,968
Customer Deposits and Advances	345,280		347,427
Accrued Liabilities	254,318		259,760
Total Current Liabilities	2,712,551		1,622,327
ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	1,008,784		1,064,207
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$16,314,816		\$16,167,907

Detail of Utility Plant-in-Service August 31, 2006 and 2005

	A	s of
<u>Description</u>	2006	2005
Utility Plant in Service		
Transmission Plant		
Land and Land Rights Transmission	\$ 146,435	\$ 146,435
Right of Way	109,233	109,233
Land Transmission from PG	1,500	1,500
Easements Transmission from PG	59,757	59,757
Structures and Improvements	3,997	3,997
Intermediate Mains	7,002,294	6,904,877
Meas and Reg Station Equip	282,297	278,215
Communication Equipment	65,193	51,752
Transmission Plant	7,670,706	7,555,766
Distribution Plant		
Land and Land Rights Distribution	119,053	119,053
Structures and Improvements	10,779	6,129
Distribution Mains	7,016,219	6,915,976
Meas and Reg Station General	239,131	238,251
Meas and Reg City Gate	300,643	255,118
Services	5,223,558	5,173,453
Meters	1,569,053	1,575,753
Meter Installation	172,939	166,352
House Regulators	747,485	731,900
House Reg Installations	97,195	95,210
Industrial Meas and Reg	496,893	489,212
Other Equipment	33,491	22,790
Distribution Plant	\$16,026,439	\$15,789,197

Detail of Utility Plant-in-Service August 31, 2006 and 2005

		As of	
Description	2006	, 10 01	2005
General Plant			
Land and Land Rights General	\$ 20,000		\$ 20,000
Structures and Imp General	940,587		319,627
Office Furniture and Equipment	100,203		99,397
Computer Equipment	82,075		82,108
Transportation Equipment	683,348		653,725
Tool and Garage Equipment	34,835		31,954
Laboratory Equipment	12,096		12,096
Power Operated Equipment	182,558		182,847
Communication Equipment	56,297		45,187
Other General Equipment - PG	106		700
General Plant	2,112,105		1,447,641
Construction In Progress			
Salem City Gate	0		8,267
Clearing Champions Pointe	102,624		0,207
Gleaning Griampions i Gine	102,027		
Total Construction in Progress	102,624		8,267
Production Plant			
Organization	8,512		8,512
Franchise and Consent	29,503		29,503
Misc Intangible Plant	850		850
Land and Land Rights	11,645		11,645
Sears Production Plant	21,374		21,374
Plainville Production Plant	8,175		8,175
Plainville Gathering Lines	13,942		13,942
Sears Measure and Reg Equip	4,372		4,372
Plainville Measure and Reg Equip	3,606		3,606
Production Purification	24,782		24,782
Plainville Purification Equip	1,634		1,634
Structures and Improv Shaw	19,372		19,372
Shaw Wells	233,956		233,956
Shaw Plant Lines	38,721		38,721
Compressor Station Equip	79,610		79,610
Measuring and Reg Equip	45,286		45,286
Purification	61,171		61,171
Total Production Plant	606,511		606,511
Total Utility Plant in Service	\$26,518,385		\$25,407,382

Comparative Statements of Net Income Years Ended August 31 2006 and 2005

	Years I	Ended
<u>Description</u>	2006	2005
Operating Revenues		
Gas Sales	\$26,177,716	\$20,800,206
Other Operating Revenues	185,718	179,043
Other Operating Nevertues	100,710	170,040
Total Operating Revenues	26,363,434	20,979,249
Operating Expenses		
Operation and Maintenance:		
Natural Gas Purchased	21,398,968	15,088,409
Operation	947,487	867,835
Maintenance	380,857	207,461
Customer Accounts	688,058	679,480
Administrative and General	1,545,985	1,483,676
Total Operation and Maintenance	24,961,355	18,326,861
Depreciation	1,044,063	1,044,016
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	691,266	631,872
Federal Income Taxes	(242,980)	106,213
Total Operating Expenses	26,453,704	20,108,962
Net Operating Income	(90,270)	870,287
Other Income/Expense		
Interest expense	(254,133)	(241,187)
Interest and dividend income	101,690	105,721
Gain (loss) on disposition of property	1,476	12,101
Other income (expense), net	(2,585)	7,142
Total Other Income/Expense - Net	(153,552)	(116,223)
Net Income	(\$243,822)	\$754,064

Comparative Detail of Operating Revenues Years Ended August 31 2006 and 2005

	Years I	Ended
<u>Description</u>	2006	2005
Gas Sales		
Residential	\$11,704,040	\$9,754,954
Commercial	6,305,643	4,802,506
Industrial	5,707,130	4,106,912
Public Authorities	1,939,349	1,474,951
Transport	521,554	660,883
Total Gas Sales	26,177,716	20,800,206
Other Operating Revenue		
Forfeited Discounts	108,848	95,818
Miscellaneous Service Revenue	76,870	83,225
Total Other Operating Revenue	185,718	179,043
Total Operating Revenue	\$26,363,434	\$20,979,249

Comparative Detail of Operating Expenses Years Ended August 31 2006 and 2005

	Years E	nded
<u>Description</u>	2006	2005
N. C. al Can Danish and		
Natural Gas Purchased	004 744 044	645 474 670
Natural Gas Purchased	\$21,714,841	\$15,474,679
Less: Gas Cost Adjustments	(315,873)	(386,270)
Total Natural Gas Purchased	21,398,968	15,088,409
<u>Operation</u>		
Operation Underground Shaw	436	7,020
Intermediate Supervision	6,078	5,524
Operation Meas and Reg Station	44,292	49,072
Dist Operation Supervision	38,720	42,858
Operations Mains and Service	534,704	458,391
Meas and Reg Station City Gate	13,943	18,320
Meter and House Regulator	245,697	223,189
Customer Installation	4,067	4,448
Gas Storage	25,717	27,679
Rents	33,833_	31,334
Total Operation	947,487	867,835
Maintenan <u>ce</u>		
Mains	77,048	27,661
Meter/Regulator City Gate	2,238	1,638
Measuring & Regulating	26,652	9,047
Services	83,363	41,740
Maint Other Equipment	29,822	26,697
Meters & Regulators	161,734	100,678
Total Maintenance	380,857	207,461
Customer Accounts Expenses		
Communication Expense	58,094	56,550
Customer Supervision	25,116	32,999
Customer Meter Reading	94,482	94,373
Customer Operation	381,839	338,348
Uncollectible Accounts	128,527	157,210
Total Customer Accounts Expenses	\$688,058	\$679,480

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

Comparative Detail of Operating Expenses Years Ended August 31 2006 and 2005

	Voors	Ended
<u>Description</u>	2006	2005
Administrative & General		
Administration & General Salaries	\$304,488	\$279,462
Office Supplies & Expenses	263,674	289,621
Advertising	3,566	5,930
Outside Services	150,343	138,398
Property Insurance	84,567	84,461
Injuries and Damages	19,961	20,090
Employee Benefits	492,246	455,203
Regulatory Commission Expense	120,630	103,956
Office Grounds Expense	13,936	15,756
Rents	57,600	57,600
Miscellaneous General Expenses	34,974	33,199
Total Administration & General Expenses	1,545,985	1,483,676
Total Operation & Maintenance	3,562,387	3,238,452
<u>Depreciation</u>	1,044,063	1,044,016
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		
Property Taxes	206,838	229,125
Utility Receipts Tax	366,199	289,221
Payroll Taxes -FICA	118,229	113,526
Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	691,266	631,872
Income Taxes		
Federal Income Taxes	(253,088)	116,498
State Income Taxes	(29,829)	70,833
Deferred Income Tax	39,937	(81,118)
Total income Taxes	(242,980)	106,213
Total Operating Expenses	\$26,453,704	\$20,108,962

Pro-Forma Operating Income Statement At Pro-Forma Present and Proposed Rates For the 12 Months Ended August 31, 2006

				Increase	required		<u>8.91%</u>
Description	August 31, 2006	Adjustments	Ref	Pro-Forma Present Rates	Adjustments	<u>Ref</u>	Proposed Rates
Operating Revenues:							
Sales of Gas Other Operating Revenues	\$26,177,716 185,718	(\$21,205,852)	(1)	\$4,971,864 185,718	\$2,048,995	(A)	\$7,020,859 185,718
Total Operating Revenues	26,363,434	(21,205,852)		5,157,582	2,048,995		7,206,577
Operating Expenses:							
Natural Gas Purchased Other Operation & Maintenance Depreciation and Amortization Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Income Taxes	21,398,968 3,562,387 1,044,063 691,266 (242,980)	(21,303,901) 291,473 79,359 (283,146) 26,930	(2) (3 & 4) (5) (6) (7)	95,067 3,853,860 1,123,422 408,120 (216,050)	12,165 28,546 797,083	(B) (C) (D)	95,067 3,866,025 1,123,422 436,666 581,033
Total Operating Expenses	26,453,704	(21,189,285)		5,264,419	837,794		6,102,213
Net Operating Income	(\$90,270)	(\$16,567)		(\$106,837)	\$1,211,201		\$1,104,364

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS -MERCER)

(1) REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS

(a)

Decrease in "Operating Revenue" from the elimination of GCA Revenues included in the test year.

(\$8,651,780)

(b)

To adjust "Operating Revenue" for the normalization of weather.

MIDWEST GAS DIVISIO

	Rate A	Rate B
Sales	7,101,595	4,308,261
Reclassed Load Less Lost Load	0	(16,124) (491,201)
Base Load	1,346,148	1,288,292
Reclassed Load		(22,880)
Less Lost Load		(41,632)
Temperature Sensitive Sales	5,755,447	2,577,156
Weather Factor - Warmer	0.1174	0.1174
Additional Sales Average Revenue Per Rate	675,881	302,644
Step	\$0.83428	\$0.76742
Additional Marthar		
Additional Weather Normalization Revenue	\$563,874	\$232,255

Increase in "Operating Revenue" for the Normalization of Weather for Midwest Gas Division

\$796,129

PEOPLES GAS DIVISION

	Rate RS-1	CS-2
Sales Less Lost Load Base Load Less Lost Load	1,625,927 0 276,180 0	688,868 (40,344) 165,004
Temperature Sensitive Sales Weather Factor - Warmer	1,349,747 0.1174	483,520 0.1174
Additional Sales Average Revenue Per Rate Step	158,505 \$0.86893	56,781 \$0.78953
Additional Weather Normalization Revenue	\$137,730	\$44,830

Increase in "Operating Revenue" for the Normalization of Weather for Peoples Gas Division

\$182,560

(\$21,205,852)

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS -MERCER)

(1)	REVENUE	ADJUSTMENTS	Continued
-----	---------	--------------------	-----------

(c)

Total Adjustment (1) to "Operating Revenues" - Increase/(Decrease)

(c)		
To decrease "Operating Revenue" for the loss of Large Commercial and Industrial load		(\$2,166,584)
(d) To decrease "Operating Revenue" for the reclassification of customers to the most correct rate of	ass	(\$28,603)
(e)		
To adjust "Operating Revenue" for changes in the Base Cost of Gas Revenues.		
Test Year Sales - Therms Weather Normalization Additional Sales Less:	RATE 18,582,487 1,193,811	
Reduction in customer usage Industrial Interruptible Large Commercial	(1,105,838) (1,317,289) (531,545)	
Adjusted Test Year Sales - Therms	16,821,626	
Pro-forma Cost of Gas Less: Unaccounted For Gas and Co Use	\$95,067 95,067	
Base Rate Cost of Gas	\$0	
New Base Rate Cost of Gas	\$0.0000	
Prior Base Rate Cost of Gas Cause NoTherm	\$0.673988	
Net Change in Base Rate Cost of Gas Test Year Sales	(0.673988) 16,821,626	
Decrease in Operating Revenues Due to Reduction in Base Rate Cost of Gas		(\$11,337,574)

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS -MERCER)

(2) NATURAL GAS PURCHASED ADJUSTMENT

To adjust "Natural Gas Purchased" to eliminate the

cost of natural gas to be purchased from base rates.	RATE	
Test Year Sales - Therms	18,582,487	
Additional Purchases-Weather Less: Reduction of customer usage (adjustment 1(e))	1,193,811 (2,954,672)	
Sub-Total Unaccounted for Gas Percentage - Test year	16,821,626 0.53%	
Estimated Unaccounted For Gas	89,155	
Estimated Purchases of System Gas Anticipated Cost of System Gas - Per Therm	89,155 \$1.0663	
Total Pro-forma Cost of Purchased Gas	\$95,067	
Less: Test Year Purchased Gas	21,398,968	
Total Adjustment (2) to "Natural Gas Purchased" - Increase/(Decrease)		(\$21,303,901)

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS -MANN)

(3) OPERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS (a)		
To adjust other "Operation and Maintenance" Expense for the annualization of test year payroll and wage increases.		<u>\$184,168</u>
(b)		
To adjust other "Operation and Maintenance" Expense for the amortization of rate case expense.		
Original Estimate of Rate Case Mailing Expense Estimated Cost of the rate case Amortization Period - Years	\$200,000 \$7,000 \$207,000 3	
Annual Rate Case Expense Less: Test Year Rate Case Expense	\$69,000 \$29,142	
Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)		\$39,858
(c)		
To Adjust "Operation and Maintenance" Expense to reflect current IURC fee.		
Applicable Revenues at Present Rates Current IURC Rate	\$23,004,076 0.001062098	
Pro-forma Fee at Present Rates Less: Test Year IURC Fee	\$24,433 26,704	
Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)		(\$2,271)
Total Adjustment (3) to "Operation and Maintenance" Expense - Inc./(Dec.)		\$221,755

\$69,718

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS - MANN)

(4) ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS		
(a) To adjust "Administrative and General" expense for the increase in the cost of property and casualty insurance.		
Pro-forma Cost of Insurance Commercial Package Workers' Compensation Commercial Umbrella Auto Business Pro-forma Cost of Insurance Less: Test Year Insurance Expense	\$35,576 22,927 29,248 27,875 \$115,626 104,528	
Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)		\$11,098
(b) To adjust "Administrative and General" expense for the increase in the cost Employee Health, LTD and Life Insurance.		
Pro-forma Monthly Employee Insurance Expense (Net of Employee) Number of Months	\$10,297 12	
Pro-forma Employee Insurance Expense Less: Test Year Insurance Expense	\$123,567 107,186	
Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)		\$16,381
(c) To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for the increase associated with the contribution to the ESOP		\$11,050
(d) To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for the elimination of the rent expense associated the purchase of the operational service building for the Scottsburg and Bloomfield offices.		(\$57,600)
(e)		
To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for additional miscellaneous adjustments Communications Expense Public Awareness Audit Expense Office Expense	\$13,236 33,220 17,500 271	
Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)		\$64,227
(f) To adjust the "Administrative and General" expense for the requested amortization of the cost to implement the normal temperature adjustment procedures over 3 years Total Actual Cost Keystone - NTA Switch Amortization Period	\$71,892 1,795 73,687 3	
Annual NTA Amortization Adjustment		\$24,562
T (A		A00 T:-

Total Adjustment (4) to "Administrative and General" Expense - Inc/(Dec)

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS - MANN)

(5) DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT

To adjust "Depreciation Expense" to reflect current plant-inservice as of August 31, 2006.

Utility Plant-in-Service Less:		\$26,415,761		
Non-depreciable Property	\$506,488			
Transportation Equipment	683,348			
Computer Equipment	82,075			
Office Furniture	100,203			
Tools	34,835			
Power Operated Equipment	182,558			
Communications Equipment	121,490			
Total Deductions		1,710,997		
Distribution Plant		24,704,764		
Depreciation Rate		3.75%		
Depreciation (Vale				
Distribution Plant Depreciation			\$926,429	
Transportation and Computer Equipment		765,423		
Depreciation Rate		20.00%		
Transportation and Computer Equipment Deprec	piotion Evappeo		153,085	
Transportation and Computer Equipment Deprec	adion Expense		100,000	
Office Furniture, Tools, and Communications Equ	uinment	439,086		
Depreciation Rate	anpititorit.	10.00%		
Depresiation Nate				
Office Furniture, Tools, and Communications Equ	uipment Depreciation	Expense	43,909	
Sub-Total Depreciation Expense				\$1,123,422
Large Tast Veer Depresiation Evpense				1,044,063
Less: Test Year Depreciation Expense				1,04-7,003
Total Adjustment (5) to "Depreciation Expense" - Inc	c/(Dec)			\$79,359

(\$283,146)

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS - MANN)

(6) TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES

(a)

Total Adjustment (6) to "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" - Inc./(Dec.)

	•	
To adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Tax" for Increase In FICA Tax for Payroll Increase reflected in adjustment 3(a).		\$12,861
(b)		
To adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" to reflect changes in Utility Receipts tax rates and applicable revenues.		
Utility Receipt Tax		
Utility Receipts	\$5,157,582	
Less: Exemption	1,000	
Bad Debts (2 year average)	142,869	
Receipts Subject to Utility Receipts Tax	\$5,013,714	
Applicable Utility Receipts Tax Rate	1.40%	
Pro-Forma at Present Rates	\$70,192	
Less: Test Year Expense	366,199	
Adjustment-Increase/(Decrease) in Utility Receipts Tax Expense		(\$296,007)

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS - MANN)

(7) INCOME TAX ADJUSTMENTS

To adjust "Income Tax" to reflect changes in Revenues and Expenses

(a) STATE INCOME TAXES

Net Operating Income Before Taxes ADD: Utility Receipts Taxes LESS: Synchronized Interest	(\$322,887) 70,192 (232,498)		
State Taxable Income		(\$485,193)	
Applicable Rate		8.500%	
Pro-Forma at Present Rates Less: Test Year Expense - (Includes Gross Income Tax)		(\$41,241) (29,829)	
Adjustment-Decrease in State Income Tax Expense			(\$11,412)
(b) FEDERAL INCOME TAX	ES		
Net Operating Income Before Federal Tax and State Taxes Less:		(\$322,887)	
Synchronized Interest State Income Tax		232,498 (41,241)	
Taxable Income Federal Tax Rate - Proposed		(\$514,144) 34.00%	
Pro-Forma Federal Income Tax Expense Less: Test Year Federal Income Tax			(\$174,809) (213,151)
Adjustment-Decrease in Federal Income Tax Expense			\$38,342
Total Adjustment (7) to "Income Taxes" - Inc./(Dec.)			\$26,930

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS - MERCER)

Proposed Rate Increase Adjustments

(A)

To adjust "Operating Revenues" to reflect the requested revenue increase.

Pro-Forma Sale of Gas at Present Rates Requested Increase

\$23,004,076 8.91%

Adjustment - Increase

\$2,048,995

(B)

To adjust "Other Operation and Maintenance" expense to reflect increase in IURC fee.

Increase in Revenue Requested Current Effective Rate

\$2,048,995 0.106210%

Adjustment - Increase

\$2,176

(C)

To adjust "Administrative and General Expense" to reflect increase in bad debts

Increase in Revenue Requested Current Effective Rate

\$2,048,995 0.004875199

Adjustment - Increase

9,989

TOTAL OTHER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

\$12,165

(D)

Increase in Revenue Requested Less: Increase in Bad Debts

\$2,048,995

9,989 2,039,006

Applicable Utility Receipts Tax Rate

1.40%

Adjustment - Increase

\$28,546

Detail of Adjustments (WITNESS - MERCER)

Proposed Rate Increase Adjustments Continued

•	 \
•	-
1	_

To adjust "State Income Tax" to reflect changes in revenues and expenses.

Increase in Revenue Requested Less: Increase in bad debts Less: Increase in IURC Fee.	\$2,048,995 9,989 2,176
Taxable Income Applicable Tax Rate	2,036,830 8.50%
Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease) in "Supplemental Income Tax".	

\$173,131

To adjust "Federal Income Tax" to reflect changes in revenues and expenses.

Increase in Revenue Requested	\$2,048,995
Less: Increase in IURC Fee. Increase in Utility Receipts Tax Increase in Bad Debt expense Increase in State Income Tax	2,176 28,546 9,989 173,131
Federal Taxable Income - Increase Plus: Federal Taxable Income - Present Rates	1,835,153 (514,144)
Total Federal Taxable Income Federal Tax Rate - Proposed	1,321,009 34.00%
Pro-forma Proposed Rate Income Tax Less: Pro-Forma Present Rate Income Tax	449,143 (174,809)
Adjustment - Increase	

623,952

Adjustment - Increase in Income Tax Expense

\$797,083

MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION TOTAL REVENUE CALCULATION

Estimated volume of gas purchased	16,910,781
Base Cost of Gas Estimated	\$1.0663
Base Cost of Gas Revenues	18,032,212
Pro-Forma Present Sales Revenues	4,971,864
Total Revenues	23,004,076
Requested Increase	<u>8.91%</u>
Revenue Adjustment - Overall	\$2,048,995

ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE

Utility Plant-In-Service as of August 31, 2006 Less: Accumulated Depreciation		\$26,415,761 (15,489,505)
Net Utility Plant-in-Service		10,926,256
Plus: Working Capital Materials and Supplies - 13 Month Average	3,853,860 /8	481,733 454,138
Total Original Cost Rate Base		\$11,862,127
	NET INCOME	\$1,104,364

CAPITAL STRUCTURE AS OF AUGUST 31, 2006

		Percent of		Weighted
Description	Amount	Total	Cost	Cost
Common Equity	\$8,719,239	62.5145%	11.75%	7.35%
Long Term Debt	3,874,242	27.7772%	6.51%	1.81%
Customer Deposits	345,280	2.4756%	6.00%	0.15%
Deferred Taxes	1,008,784	7.2327%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	\$13,947,545	100.00%		9.31%

CALCULATION OF SYNCHRONIZED INTEREST AS OF AUGUST 31, 2006

Description	Amount	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Cost	Weighted Cost of Debt
Common Equity	\$8,719,239	62.51%	11.75%	7.34%	
Long Term Debt	3,874,242	27.78%	6.51%	1.81%	1.81%
Customer Deposits	345,280	2.48%	6.00%	0.15%	0.15%
Deferred Taxes	1,008,784	7.23%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	\$13,947,545	100.00%		9.30%	1.96%

Rate Base	\$11,862,127
Weighted Cost of Debt	1.96%
Synchronized Interest	\$232,498